

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/754,223	COCKERILL ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> TERESA E. STRZELECKA	<b>Art Unit</b> 1637

**All Participants:**

(1) TERESA E. STRZELECKA. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Angela Parsons. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 15 July 2009

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

22, 46-48

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Ms. Parsons because amended claims 1, 4-21, 25-28 and 40-45 were allowable, and examiner suggested cancelling the non-elected claims together with claims 22 and 46-48, which are still rejectable under art. Ms. Parsons informed examiner that Applicants did not wish to cancel any of the non-allowed claims and to proceed with the final action..